

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 CLARENCE V. KNIGHT, No. C 08-03546 SBA (PR)  
12 Petitioner,  
13 v.  
**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR**  
**APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**  
14 M. S. EVANS, et al.,  
15 Respondents.

/

17 Petitioner has requested appointment of counsel in this action.  
18 The Sixth Amendment right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus actions. See  
19 Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986). Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B),  
20 however, authorizes a district court to appoint counsel to represent a habeas petitioner whenever "the  
21 court determines that the interests of justice so require" and such person is financially unable to  
22 obtain representation. The decision to appoint counsel is within the discretion of the district court.  
23 See Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986); Knaubert, 791 F.2d at 728; Bashor v.  
24 Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. 1984). The courts have made appointment of counsel the  
25 exception rather than the rule by limiting it to: (1) capital cases; (2) cases that turn on substantial and  
26 complex procedural, legal or mixed legal and factual questions; (3) cases involving uneducated or  
27 mentally or physically impaired petitioners; (4) cases likely to require the assistance of experts either  
28 in framing or in trying the claims; (5) cases in which petitioner is in no position to investigate crucial

1 facts; and (6) factually complex cases. See generally 1 J. Liebman & R. Hertz, Federal Habeas  
2 Corpus Practice and Procedure § 12.3b at 383-86 (2d ed. 1994). Appointment is mandatory only  
3 when the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent  
4 due process violations. See Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196; Eskridge v. Rhay, 345 F.2d 778, 782 (9th  
5 Cir. 1965).

6 At this time, the Court is unable to determine whether the appointment of counsel is  
7 mandated for Petitioner. Accordingly, the interests of justice do not require appointment of counsel,  
8 and Petitioner's request is DENIED. This denial is without prejudice to the Court's sua sponte  
9 reconsideration should the Court find an evidentiary hearing necessary following consideration of  
10 the merits of Petitioner's claims.

11 This Order terminates Docket no. 8.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: 1/12/10

  
14 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 CLARENCE,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 KNIGHT-V-M.S. EVANS et al,

8 Defendant.  
9 \_\_\_\_\_ /

Case Number: CV08-03546 SBA

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on January 13, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

Clarence V. Knight C-07508  
Salinas Valley State Prison  
P.O. Box 1050  
Soledad, CA 93960

Dated: January 13, 2010

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk  
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk